IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

September 29, 2006

In re application of : IVO E. PERA

Serial No. : 10/657,473

Filed : September 8, 2003

FOR : TOBACCO SMOKE FILTER AND RELATIVE

COMPOSITION MADE OF ANTIOXIDANT AND

MINERAL SUBSTANCES

Examiner : Carlos N. Lopez

Art Unit : 1731

Our File No. : 8849.6818

CERTIFICATION OF E-MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence, and any attachments thereto, is being filed via electronic mail with the Commissioner For Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

lame of Person Mailing
Paper

Cl. / Jernal... Signature

Date

COMMUNICATION

Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This communication is in response to the Office Action dated September 1, 2006. In the restriction requirement the Examiner is asking Applicant to choose between species A and species B. The office Action is confusing. Such a requirement does not appear appropriate given that claim 1 talks about one or more antioxidants and one or more minerals. However, if the Examiner is requiring a selection between species A and species B, Applicant elects species B. The Examiner is then asking Applicant to choose one antioxidant from species A and one antioxidant from

In re application of: Ivo E. Pera Serial No.: 10/657,473

Page 2

species B. Applicant selects L-Glutathione from species A and Activated Carbon from species B.

The claims that are believed to be readable on the elected species in addition to the generic claims 1, 5, 6 and 7 would also include 2, 3, 4, 8, 9, 10, 11, 15, 19, and 24. Thus, claims 1-11, 15, 19 and 24 are readable.

Applicant has completely responded to the Examiner's Office Action dated September 1, 2006. Favorable action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Daniel S. Polley, Reg. No. 34,902

CUSTOMER NO. 44538